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Abstract
In his seminal work of 1981, Cormack established that Radon transforms defined on two remarkable families of curves in the plane are invertible and admit explicit inversion formulas via circular harmonic decomposition. A sufficient condition for finding larger classes of curves enjoying the same property is given in this paper. We show that these generalized Cormack’s curves are given by the solutions of a nonlinear first-order differential equation, which is invariant under geometric inversion. A derivation of the analytic inverse formula of the corresponding Radon transforms, as well as some of their main properties, are worked out. Interestingly, among these generalized Cormack’s curves are circles orthogonal to a circle of fixed radius centered at the origin of coordinates. It is suggested that a novel Compton scatter tomography modality may be modeled by a Radon transform defined on these circles.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Ever since the Radon transform has appeared as a key working tool in imaging science, there is a continuing interest to extend it to non-trivial curves in the plane for which the transform remains invertible and for which an explicit inversion formula can be written out. It was Cormack, while working on the inversion of the classical Radon transform, who first found that the Radon transform on circles intersecting a fixed point in the plane enjoys such inversion properties [1]. Later in 1981, he found two new families of curves, for which the related Radon transforms display precisely the same inversion properties [2, 3]. In the past
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decades, progress has been achieved also in the inversion of Radon transforms on circles centered on a line (respectively on another circle) in the context of synthetic aperture radar imaging [4, 5] (respectively in thermo-acoustic tomography [6]). At the beginning of the 1990s, Kurusa attempted to generalize Cormack’s result to curves of abstract two-dimensional manifolds equipped with a metric and a distance function [7, 8]. In particular, he established invertibility for Radon transforms on curves having a strictly convex distance function in two-point homogeneous spaces and spaces of constant curvature. However, no concrete curve was given explicitly. Thus, it would be of interest to search for other classes of curves in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) for which the inversion problem of the corresponding Radon transforms can be solved in the same way.

Amid a wealth of general results on Radon transform on curves in the plane [9–12], we will be concerned here with Radon transforms on families of curves which are invariant under a global rotation around the origin of a polar coordinate system \( O \) and which have an axis of reflection symmetry intersecting \( O \). In this situation, it is appropriate to use the so-called circular harmonic component decomposition of functions (see e.g. [13, 14]), which helps to reformulate the Radon transform as an integral transform of Tchebyscheff’s type, as appearing e.g. in [15].

In section 2 of this paper, we consider a generalization of Cormack’s curves, which preserves their symmetry and smoothness properties and for which the corresponding Radon transform is invertible in the setting of the circular harmonic component formalism. It turns out that demanding that the integral equation for circular harmonic components be invertible implies solving a differential equation of first order. The solution of this equation describes three classes of curves. Each one is given by a function of \( r^\sigma \), where \( \sigma \in (\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}) \) is a parameter. The simplest representative of each group is clearly labeled by \( |\sigma| = 1 \), whereas any other member of this group may be viewed as its \( \sigma \)-descendant. The first group, which corresponds to the simplest structure, is precisely the one found by Cormack in 1981, of which the two simplest representatives are the straight line \( (\sigma = 1) \) and the circle through the origin \( \sigma = -1 \).

For the second group, the simplest representatives are the circular arcs subtended by a common chord of fixed length which rotates freely around its middle point. The Radon problem for this class of circular arcs has been shown to be soluble in [16]. The third and last group is new and its simplest representatives are circular arcs which intersect orthogonally a fixed circle. A detailed study of this class of new Radon transform is given in section 3. A particular emphasis is put on the derivation of its analytic inverse formula and its consequences. This last result is interesting in so far as it suggests a new operating modality for Compton scatter tomography (CST), a much investigated ionizing radiation imaging process nowadays. This is presented in section 4. Finally, a conclusion closes the text with some possible research topics.

2. Generalized Cormack’s curves and the associated Radon transforms

2.1. Cormack’s curves and the related Radon’s problem

Cormack’s curve \( \mathcal{C}_\phi \) is characterized by two parameters: \( \phi \), an angle specifying its rotational state around the coordinate origin, and \( p \), a length which describes its ‘size’. In a polar coordinate system \( (r, \theta) \), let

\[
\cos \sigma (\theta - \phi) = \left( \frac{p}{r} \right)^\sigma
\]

be its equation, where \( \sigma \in (\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}) \) and \( |\theta - \phi| < \pi / 2\sigma \). \( \mathcal{C}_\phi \) has an obvious axis of reflection symmetry (labeled by \( \phi \)), which goes through the coordinate origin \( O \). We have chosen this form but could have also taken \( r/p \) instead of \( p/r \). This does not affect the generality of the
argumentation. $\sigma > 0$ (respectively $\sigma < 0$) corresponds to the $\alpha$- ($\beta$-) curves of Cormack in [2].

The $\alpha$-curves (or $\sigma > 0$-curves) tend to infinity as $|\theta - \phi| \to \pi/2\alpha$; they intersect themselves at least once if $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, but they do not intersect themselves if $\alpha \geq 1/2$. For $\alpha = 1/2, 1, 2$ we have the parabola, the straight line and the one-branch hyperbola. The $\beta$-curves (or $\sigma < 0$ curves) tend to the coordinate origin as $|\theta - \phi| \to \pi/2\beta$; they intersect themselves at least once if $0 < \beta < 1/2$, but they do not intersect themselves if $\beta \geq 1/2$. For $\beta = 1/2, 1, 2$ we have the cardioid, the circle through the coordinate origin and the one-branch lemniscate of Bernoulli. The $\alpha$- and $\beta$-curves are exchanged under geometric inversion in the unit circle $(r, \theta) \to (1/r, \theta)$.

The Radon transform on these curves $C_{\sigma}$ maps a real-valued integrable function $f(r, \theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ onto its integral on a curve $C_{\alpha}$, i.e.

$$\hat{f}(p, \phi) = \int_{(r, \theta) \in C_{\sigma}} dl_{\sigma} f(r, \theta), \quad (2)$$

where $dl_{\sigma}$ is the line element of $C_{\sigma}$.

Let $f(r, \theta) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^1)$, continuous and bounded. Then, $f(r, \theta)$ admits the following circular harmonic expansion:

$$f(r, \theta) = \sum_{l=\infty}^{\infty} f_l(r) e^{i\phi}, \quad \text{with} \quad f_l(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ e^{-i\phi} f(r, \theta). \quad (3)$$

The $l$-summation in equation (3) $||.||_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^1)}$-converges to $f(r, \theta)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, each $f_l(r)$ is bounded and continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Substitution of (3) into equation (2) shows that $\hat{f}(p, \phi)$ is of the form

$$\hat{f}(p, \phi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_l(p) \ e^{i\phi}, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_l(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ e^{-i\phi} \hat{f}(\tau, \phi). \quad (4)$$

Then, $\hat{f}_l(p)$ emerges as a Tchebyscheff integral transform of $f_l(r)$. Cormack showed that it can be inverted using the value of a special integral on a product of Tchebyscheff polynomials [2, 17].

So, it is natural to raise the question whether there exist other classes of curves that lend themselves to the same inversion technique.

2.2. A generalization of Cormack’s curves

An extended form of Cormack’s curves, which would display the same symmetry, but leave the size and the shape arbitrary, is expected to have the following properties.

- These curves may have an equation of the form

$$\cos \sigma (\theta - \phi) = \frac{g(r^\alpha)}{h(p)},$$

where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are real-valued and sufficiently smooth functions. (A more general implicit relation of the type $\cos \sigma (\theta - \phi) = G_p(r)$ or even $F_p(\cos \sigma (\theta - \phi), r) = 0$ could be envisaged but would lead to unmanageable complications.) As $p$ is an arbitrary length scale, we may set $\tau = h(p) > 0$ for simplicity (since its sign can be absorbed in $g(x)$). From now onward, we call a generalized Cormack curve $\mathcal{C}(\tau, \phi)$, the curve of equation

$$\cos \sigma \gamma = \frac{g(r^\alpha)}{\tau}, \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma = (\theta - \phi)$.
The reality of the curve requires that $|g(r^σ)|/τ < 1$, and for $0 < σ γ < π$, one may write
\[ γ = \frac{1}{σ} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{g(r^σ)}{τ} \right). \tag{6} \]

Conversely assuming that the inverse function $g^{-1}$ of $g$ exists (with possibly a restriction on the range of $τ$), one can solve for $r(γ) = (g^{-1}(τ \cos σ γ))^{1/σ}$. This means that there may be several branches $r(γ)$.

Each branch may or may not be of finite extent but is always expected to be of smooth tracing on both sides of the symmetry axis. Let $ζ = \min_{τ \in R_+} |(g(τ))|$. Equation (5) shows that this curve branch exists if $ζ < τ$ and that it is situated in an angular sector $−γ_0 < γ < γ_0$, with $γ_0$ given by $\cos γ_0 = ±ζ/τ$. In this case, one can picture this branch as starting from $γ = 0$ at a distance $r = r_0$ on the symmetry axis ($r_0$ being the solution of $τ = g(r_0^σ)$) and ending at the point of polar coordinates $(r_0^′, γ_0)$ ($r_0^′$ being the solution of $±ζ = g((r_0^′)^σ)$).

To stress the special character of these curves, we cite two classes of curves which are not $σ$-generalized Cormack’s curves. They are given by the following equations:
\[ \cos σ γ = \left( r^σ + \frac{p(2R + p)}{r^σ} \right) \frac{1}{2(p + R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos σ γ = \left( r^σ + \frac{p^2 - R^2}{r^σ} \right) \frac{1}{2p}. \tag{7} \]

There is no factorization of the right-hand side into a product of a function of $τ = h(R)$ and a function $g(τ)$. For $σ = 1$, they represent two families of circles of variable radius $R$, which are respectively externally tangent or centered on a fixed circle of radius $p$ and with center $O$, the origin of polar coordinates.

2.3. The Radon transform on generalized Cormack’s curves $C(τ, φ)$

Physical quantities (such as mass density, radio-activity density or linear attenuation coefficient, etc.), which are relevant for imaging processes, are generally real-valued, non-negative, smooth and compactly supported functions of polar coordinates $(r, θ)$. However, we consider, from now onward, as Cormack did in [2], functions $f(r, θ)$ which are, with respect to variables $x = r \cos θ$ and $y = r \sin θ$, in the Schwartz space $S(R^2)$ of the infinitely smooth functions (i.e. decaying faster than any power of $x$ and $y$ at infinity as well as their derivatives). Then it can be checked that $f(τ) ∈ S(R_+)$.  

**Definition 2.1.** The Radon transform $\hat{f}(τ, φ)$ of the function $f(τ, φ) ∈ S(R^2)$, on a specific branch of a generalized Cormack’s curve $C(τ, φ)$, is given by
\[ \hat{f}(τ, φ) = \int_{(τ, φ) ∈ C(τ, φ)} dτ f(τ, φ), \tag{8} \]

where for simplicity we designate the chosen curve branch by the same symbol $C(τ, φ)$ and $dτ$ its arc element. $dτ$ can be computed from equation (5), i.e.
\[ dτ = dy \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{r^2 g^2 (r^σ)}{r^2 g^2 (τ)}} \right\} = dr \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - g^2 + (r^σ g')^2}{r^2 - g^2}}. \tag{9} \]

$g'(x)$ being the derivative of $g(x)$.

Equation (8) may now be given explicitly as an integral on $γ$:
\[ \hat{f}(τ, φ) = \int_{−γ_0}^{γ_0} dy \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - g^2 + (r^σ g')^2}{(r^σ g')^2}} (r f(τ, γ + φ)) \right\}_{r=(g^{-1}(τ \cos σ γ))^{1/σ}}, \tag{10} \]
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or as an integral on r:

\[ \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{r_0}^{r_0'} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2 - g^2 + (r^2 g')^2}{\tau^2 - g^2}} \left( f(r, \gamma + \phi) + f(r, -\gamma + \phi) \right) \bigg|_{\gamma = \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{\tau} \right)} \right. \]

where \( \gamma_0, r_0 \) and \( r_0' \) have been specified in subsection 2.2. As the singularities of the integrand, either in \( \gamma \) or in \( r \), are integrable, \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) is well defined and has the following elementary properties.

- \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) is a smooth and strongly decreasing function of \( \tau \) \((0 < \zeta < \tau)\),
- for non-negative \( f(r, \theta) \), \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) is non-negative,
- the support of \( \hat{f} \) is generally not compact since \( \zeta < \tau < \infty \) and \( \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \).

Proposition 2.2. Under the above assumptions, \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) is defined for all pairs \((\tau, \phi)\) with \((0 < \zeta < \tau < \infty \) and \( 0 < \phi < 2\pi)\) and fully determined by its circular harmonic components \( \hat{f}_l(\tau) \), which are given by

\[ \hat{f}_l(\tau) = 2 \int_{r_0}^{r_0'} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2 - g^2 + (r^2 g')^2}{\tau^2 - g^2}} \cos \left( \frac{l}{\sigma} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{\tau} \right) \right) f_l(r), \]

where the integration boundaries \( r_0 \) and \( r_0' \) are given in subsection 2.2.

Proof. In equation (10), we insert the circular harmonic expansion (3) of \( f(r, \theta) \). Then, using \( \gamma = (\theta - \phi) \), we rewrite the result as a circular harmonic expansion with respect to the angle \( \phi \), so as to identify the \( f_l(\tau) \) circular harmonic component of \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) as an integral of \( f_l(r) \) over \( \gamma \). Next, we take into account the fact that besides the factor \( e^{i \gamma} \), the rest of the integrand is a function of \( \cos \sigma \gamma \) and that the integration range is \([-\gamma_0, \gamma_0]\). Thus, we can reduce it to \([0, \gamma_0]\) but insert a global factor of 2. We now change the integration variable from \( \gamma \) to \( r \) and using equation (9) we obtain equation (12). \( \square \)

Equation (12) displays the appropriate features required for discussing Cormack’s inversion procedure [2], in the next subsection.

2.4. A sufficient condition on the curves \( C(\tau, \phi) \) for the invertibility of the corresponding Radon transform

Inspection shows that by imposing an appropriate condition on the structure of equation (12) and by redefining its input and output functions, this equation will take the form of the Radon transform on Cormack’s curves \( C_\sigma \). This fact can be formulated as

Theorem 2.3. Let \( g \) be chosen so as to satisfy the condition

\[ -g^2 (r^2) + (r^2 g' (r^2))^2 = C, \]

where \( C \) is a constant. Define

\[ \tilde{F}_l(\tau) = \frac{\tau f_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 + C}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_l(g) = \frac{1}{dg/dr} f_l((g^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}); \]

then \( \tilde{F}_l(\tau) \) and \( F_l(g) \) are related by

\[ \tilde{F}_l(\tau) = 2 \int_{\xi\tau}^{\tau} dg \frac{\cos \left( \frac{\tau}{\xi} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{\tau} \right) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - (\xi \tau)^2}} F_l(g), \]

where \( \xi \) has been defined in subsection (2.2).
Equation (15) has precisely the form of the integral relation for circular components in the Radon problem on $\beta$-curves of [2], i.e. $(\beta = \sigma^{-1})$, except perhaps for a non-zero lower integration bound.

**Proof.** Equation (15) can be established by taking into account condition (13) and definitions (14) in equation (12), in which one makes a further change of variable from $r$ to $g$. In [2], for the Radon problem on the $\beta$-curves, Cormack obtained an equation linking the circular components of the function and its Radon transform, which has the same form as equation (15), except a zero as lower integration bound instead of $\xi \tau$. □

**Remark 2.4.** Instead of using the variables $(g, \tau)$, we could have used $(1/g, 1/\tau)$. This would lead to an integral transform for the Radon problem on Cormack’s $\alpha$-curves, see equation (12a) in [2] modulo an integration bound. The connection between the two situations can be established by geometric inversion, as discussed in [2, 18].

Consequently, we have

**Corollary 2.5.** The Radon transform on generalized Cormack curves $C(\tau, \phi)$, defined by equation (13), can be inverted by inverting the integral equation for their circular components (15), following Cormack’s method in [2].

We discuss concrete cases of inversion, according to the nature of the solutions of equation (13), in the following sections.

### 2.5. Solutions of the differential equation determining the generalized Cormack’s curves $C(\tau, \phi)$

Thus, it becomes highly interesting to know what are the generalized Cormack’s curves. To find them we have to solve equation (13), a nonlinear separable differential equation of first order, which takes a simple form in the variable $x = r^\sigma$:

$$\frac{dg}{\sqrt{g^2 + C}} = \pm \frac{dx}{x}. \quad (16)$$

There are three families of solutions according to the values of $C$ and dependent on an integration constant $s$.

(a) For $C = 0$, the solution is trivial and yields the Cormack’s curves:

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{s}{x}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad g(x) = \left(\frac{x}{s}\right). \quad (17)$$

Here, $s$ is an integration constant. If we set $s = \rho^\sigma$ in equation (17), we find the equations of the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-Cormack’s curves of [2]

$$\cos \sigma \gamma = \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^\sigma \quad \text{or} \quad \cos \sigma \gamma = \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^\sigma. \quad (18)$$

(b) For $C = b^2 > 0$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the solution

$$g(x) = \pm \frac{|b|}{2} \left(\frac{x}{s} - \frac{s}{x}\right). \quad (19)$$

3 Note that this form has also been obtained by Kurusa in his work on Radon transform on a half-sphere [19].
In what follows $|b|$ can be absorbed in the definition of $\tau$. The ($-$) sign in $g$ may be absorbed by an angular shift in $\gamma \rightarrow (\gamma \pm \pi/2\sigma)$ without changing the nature of the curve branch. Hence, it is sufficient to consider curves of the equation

$$
\cos \sigma \gamma = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{p}{r} \right)^{\sigma} - \left( \frac{r}{p} \right)^{\sigma} \right].
$$

(20)

The Radon transform on such curves with $\sigma = 1$, as well as two special cases with $\sigma = 1/2, 1$, has been discussed in [16].

(c) For $C = -b^2 > 0$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
g(x) = \pm \frac{|b|}{2} \left( \frac{x}{s} + \frac{x}{s'} \right).
$$

(21)

Following the arguments given in (b), without loss of generality we can restrict ourselves to curves given by

$$
\cos \sigma \gamma = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{p}{r} \right)^{\sigma} + \left( \frac{r}{p} \right)^{\sigma} \right].
$$

(22)

For the three classes, note that when $\sigma = 1/m$, with $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, equations (17), (19) and (20) refer to higher order algebraic curves of special types. In particular, for $\sigma = 1$ the corresponding curves are a straight line and three families of circles or arcs of the circle in the plane (see figure 1). The line and circles in (a) and (b) are associated with

- the usual Radon transform on straight lines, which is widely used in computed tomography, single photon emission computed tomography and positron emission tomography,
- the Radon transform on circles passing through a fixed point, used in Norton’s CST modality [21] and
- the Radon transform on rotating arcs of the circle, arising in a recent CST modality [16].

The most valuable property one can expect from a Radon transform is, without any doubt, its invertibility since it solves in principle the inverse problem associated with some imaging processes, as in three cases of the $\sigma = 1$ curves above. The last family of circles, which correspond to $C < 0$, has not yet been considered in the literature and will be the subject of section 3.

2.6. Inversion of Radon transforms on generalized Cormack’s curves with $C \geq 0$

The case with $C = 0$ will not be discussed in this paper since it has appeared in the work of Cormack [2].

Let us now consider the case with $\sigma > 0$ and $C > 0$, with the provisions (b) of subsection 2.5. In [16], we have used a different inversion approach and put the emphasis on the special case $\sigma = 1$ in view of an application in CST. Here, we follow the general approach presented above. The lower bound in the integral of equation (15) is 0, since for $r = p$, we have $\zeta_0 = 0$ and consequently $\gamma_0 = \pi/2\sigma$. Thus, equation (15) becomes identical to the equation for the Radon transform on Cormack’s $\beta$-curves [2].

Let $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi)$ be the Radon transform of a $f(r, \theta) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ on the generalized Cormack’s curve of the equation

$$
\cos \sigma \gamma = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{p}{r} \right)^{\sigma} - \left( \frac{r}{p} \right)^{\sigma} \right].
$$
Figure 1. Representations of the $\sigma = 1$ solutions of equation (16).

Proposition 2.3 shows that via the change of functions

$$\hat{F}_i(\tau) = \frac{\tau \hat{f}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 + 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_i(g) = \left(\frac{-p}{\sigma}\right) \frac{f_i\left(p\sqrt{g^2 + 1} - g\right)^{1/\sigma}}{\sqrt{g^2 + 1} + (1 + g)^{1/\sigma}},$$

(23)

where $f_i(r)$ (respectively $\hat{f}_i(\tau)$) are the circular harmonic components of $f(r, \theta)$ (respectively $f(\tau, \phi)$), equation (15) becomes precisely the integral transform for the circular components of functions in the Radon problem on Cormack’s $\beta$-curves (see equation (12b) in [2]):

$$\hat{F}_i(\tau) = 2 \int_0^\tau dg \frac{\cos \left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma} \cos^{-1} \frac{\hat{F}_i(g)}{\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right)^2}} F_i(g).$$

(24)

Observe that $\hat{F}_i(\tau)$ (respectively $F_i(g)$) enjoy the same smoothness properties as $\hat{f}_i(\tau)$ (respectively $f_i(r)$). As Cormack has worked out fully the inversion procedure in [2, 22], we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** The Radon transform of functions $f(r, \theta) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ on the generalized Cormack’s curves of the equation

$$\cos \sigma \gamma = \frac{g(r)}{r} = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^\sigma - \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^\sigma \right]$$

is invertible via the inversion of the Radon transform on Cormack’s $\beta$-curves.
In particular, for \( \sigma = 1/m, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), there exists a closed form inverse formula

\[
f(r, \theta)\big|_{\sigma=1/m} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{d}{dr} \ln g(r) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} \frac{U_{m-1}(g(r)/\tau)}{T_m(g(r)/\tau) - \cos(\theta - \phi)},
\]

(25)

where \( T_m(x) \) and \( U_m(x) \) are the Tschebycheff polynomials of first and second kind [23]:

\[
T_m(\cos x) = \cos mx \quad \text{and} \quad U_m(\cos x) = \sin mx / \sin x.
\]

**Proof.** From equation (17b) of [2], we retrieve the inversion formula for \( F_l(t) \):

\[
F_l(t) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{t^2 - \tau^2}} \frac{d F_l(\tau)}{d\tau}.
\]

(26)

Because equation (26) does not include the consistency condition on the Radon data, the function under \( \tau \)-integration shows divergences, at large \( \tau > 2 \), for \( \tau \to 0 \). A well-behaved solution was derived later by Cormack in 1984 (see his equation (15) in [22]). When transcribed to our \( \sigma \)-solution, it reads

\[
F_l(g) = \frac{1}{\pi g} \int_{0}^{g} d\tau \hat{F}_l(\tau) \left\{ \left( \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} \right)^{1/\sigma} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \left( \frac{2g}{\tau} \right)^{n+\delta-2k} \right\}
\]

\[- \frac{1}{\pi g} \int_{g}^{\infty} d\tau \hat{F}_l(\tau) \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor n/2 \right\rfloor} a_k \left( \frac{2g}{\tau} \right)^{n+\delta-2k},
\]

(27)

whereby \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, l/\sigma = 1 + n + \delta, 0 < \delta < 1 \) and

\[
a_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(1+n+\delta-k)}{\Gamma(1+n+\delta-2k)}.
\]

Then, using equation (23), an inversion formula in terms of \( \hat{f}_l(\tau) \) can be obtained.

For \( \sigma = 1/m \), with \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), Cormack was able to obtain a close form for the inversion formula (his equation (21) in [22], in which \( (t/q) \) is replaced by \( (q/t) \)). The transcription of his result to our variables yields precisely formula (25).

**Remark 2.7.** We may observe that the Radon transforms on curves given by equation (7) cannot be inverted in the framework of function circular harmonic decomposition.

3. A Radon transform on arcs of circles orthogonal to a fixed circle

This section is devoted to the Radon transform on the curves given by equation (22). For arbitrary \( \sigma > 0 \), this transform has its novelty as a new class of Radon transform. Its inversion would go along the lines of the previous section. However, in view of a possible application to CST, to be presented in section 4, instead of describing the general inversion procedure for any \( \sigma > 0 \), we choose to concentrate on the case \( \sigma = 1 \) and give a self-contained presentation with relevant computational details.
3.1. The family of circles $C_{p\tau}$

The curve with $\sigma = 1$, $C < 0$ and the provisions (c) of subsection 2.5, has the following equation in polar coordinates:

$$\cos \gamma = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left( \frac{p}{r} + \frac{r}{p} \right), \quad \text{with } \tau > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p > 0. \quad (28)$$

It is clearly invariant under the geometric inversion $(p/r) \leftrightarrow (r/p)$, and under the substitution $(r, \gamma) \rightarrow (-r, \gamma \pm \pi)$. Inspection shows that it is a circle $C_{p\tau}$ of radius $p\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}$, with its center on a radial axis making an angle $\phi$ with $Ox$, at a distance $p\tau$ from the origin and orthogonal to a fixed circle $\Gamma_p$ of radius $p$ and centered at the coordinate system origin $O$. The intersection points $S$ and $D$ of $C_{p\tau}$ with $\Gamma_p$ are also the tangency points to the full circle $C_{p\tau}$ of lines $OS$ and $OD$, see figure 2.

When equation (28) is solved for $r$, one obtains two solutions. With respect to the circle $\Gamma_p$, its outside (respectively inside) branch is given by $r = p(\tau \cos \gamma + \sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})$ (respectively $r = p(\tau \cos \gamma - \sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})$). The existence and reality of these solutions requires that $(-\pi/2 < -\gamma_0 < \gamma < \gamma_0 < \pi/2)$, with $\gamma_0$ defined by $\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma_0 = 1$, which in turns implies that $\tau \geq 1$ (since $g(r) = (1/2)((p/r) + (r/p)) > 0$, its minimum is $\zeta = 1$ at $r = p$).

3.2. Known cases of Radon transforms on circles in $\mathbb{R}^2$

The problem of invertibility of Radon transforms on circles in $\mathbb{R}^2$ has been investigated in depth by many authors, see e.g. [10]. In particular, the invertibility of Radon transforms on circles of arbitrary radius but centered on restricted subsets $S$ of the plane has been established by Agranovsky and Quinto (see [24, 25]). This inversion problem is equivalent to the characterization of sets on which radial functions are dense in the sense of continuous functions in the plane. This is so except if $S$ is not contained in any set of the form of the union of the rigidly displaced Coxeter system of $N$ lines and of a finite set $F$. The related problem
of injectivity of Radon transform on circles has also been largely investigated in the literature [24–26], in particular for the Radon transform on circles with fixed radius [27].

The circular arcs $C_{pr}$ have not appeared so far in the literature as supports for Radon transforms in $\mathbb{R}^2$, although the general problem of Radon on arcs of curves has been considered in [20]. The only known classes of circles on which a Radon transform can be defined and inverted with an explicit formula are as follows.

1. Circles going through the coordinate system origin. The Radon transform on such circles was found to be invertible first by Cormack in 1963 [1]. Interest in this case remained dormant for years until Cormack showed that they are a special case of his $\beta$-curves in [2]. Much later, Norton used them to propose a modality for CST [21].

2. Circles of varying radius centered on a fixed circle. It was shown by Norton [29] how they arise in ultrasound imaging with special circular geometry. This case has now experienced a revival of interest with thermo-acoustic imaging [28].

3. Circles centered on a straight line. They have served in Radon transforms for modeling synthetic aperture radar imaging [4].

All these three classes of circles have been discussed thoroughly in the literature [24–26].

4. Recently while searching for a new modality for CST, we have found that the class of arcs of the circle subtended by a chord of fixed length and rotating around its center can be the support of a new class of invertible Radon transforms [16]. A corresponding CST modality has also been suggested.

3.3. The Radon transform on circular arcs $C_{pr}^+$

In this section, we show that the Radon transform on circular arcs $C_{pr}^+$, which are orthogonal to the fixed circle $\Gamma_p$, but external to it, is invertible by Cormack’s approach. It has the polar equation $r = p(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} + \tau \cos \gamma)$ and has a length $p(\pi + 2\gamma_0) \tan \gamma_0$, with $\gamma_0 = \cos^{-1}(\tau^{-1})$.

**Definition 3.1.** The Radon transform $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi)$ of functions $f(r, \theta) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ on $C_{pr}^+$ is defined by the integral

$$\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{-\gamma_0}^{\gamma_0} \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} (r f(r, \gamma + \phi))_{r=p(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} + \tau \cos \gamma)},$$

or alternatively by

$$\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{p(\pi + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})}^{p(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})} \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 - \frac{1}{p}(\tau + \frac{r}{p})^2} (f(r, \gamma + \phi) + f(r, -\gamma + \phi))_{\gamma=\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)},$$

In equation (29), the factor $(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})^{-1}$ introduces an integrable singularity near the integration limits $\pm \gamma_0$ and the $\gamma$-integration remains well defined. We note that $\hat{f}(1, \phi) = 0$ and that $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Alternatively $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi)$, as a function of $(\tau, \phi) \in [1, \infty[ \times [0, 2\pi[$, can be reformulated as an integral transform with a $r$-delta function kernel $K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta)$ as

$$\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{-\gamma_0}^{\gamma_0} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr \, f(r, \gamma + \phi) \, K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta),$$

In equation (31), the factor $(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})^{-1}$ introduces an integrable singularity near the integration limits $\pm \gamma_0$ and the $\gamma$-integration remains well defined. We note that $\hat{f}(1, \phi) = 0$ and that $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. 

Alternatively $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi)$, as a function of $(\tau, \phi) \in [1, \infty[ \times [0, 2\pi[$, can be reformulated as an integral transform with a $r$-delta function kernel $K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta)$ as

$$\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{-\gamma_0}^{\gamma_0} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr \, f(r, \gamma + \phi) \, K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta),$$

In equation (31), the factor $(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})^{-1}$ introduces an integrable singularity near the integration limits $\pm \gamma_0$ and the $\gamma$-integration remains well defined. We note that $\hat{f}(1, \phi) = 0$ and that $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. 

Alternatively $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi)$, as a function of $(\tau, \phi) \in [1, \infty[ \times [0, 2\pi[$, can be reformulated as an integral transform with a $r$-delta function kernel $K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta)$ as

$$\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = \int_{-\gamma_0}^{\gamma_0} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr \, f(r, \gamma + \phi) \, K_{C_{pr}^+}(\tau, \phi, r, \theta),$$

In equation (31), the factor $(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1})^{-1}$ introduces an integrable singularity near the integration limits $\pm \gamma_0$ and the $\gamma$-integration remains well defined. We note that $\hat{f}(1, \phi) = 0$ and that $\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
where the kernel is
\[ K_{C_p^+}(\tau, \phi|r, \theta) = \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1} \frac{\delta(r - p(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1} + \tau \cos(\theta - \phi)))}{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1}. \] (32)

Thus, for given \((\tau, \phi)\), the kernel support in \((r, \theta)\)-space is \(C_{pr}^+\). 4

3.4. Adjoint transform

**Definition 3.2.** The adjoint Radon transform of a real-valued, smooth and rapidly decreasing function \(\hat{h}(\tau, \phi)\) is \(h(r, \theta)\), given by
\[ h(r, \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_1^\infty d\tau K_{C_p^+}^+(r, \theta|\tau, \phi) \hat{h}(\tau, \phi). \] (33)

To find \(K_{C_p^+}^+(r, \theta|\tau, \phi)\) we rewrite the kernel in equation (32) in terms of the \(\tau\)-variable in the delta-function
\[ \delta(r - p(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1} + \tau \cos(\theta - \phi))) = \delta \left( \tau - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{g + \frac{r}{p}}{\cos(\theta - \phi)} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1}}{r \cos(\theta - \phi)}. \] (34)

Let
\[ g = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{r} + \frac{r}{p} \right). \] (35)

Inspection shows that \(g\) as a function of \(r\) is always \(g > 1\) and \(g\) attains its minimum 1 at \(r = p\).

Thus, for a given point \((r, \theta)\), the kernel of the adjoint transform is
\[ K_{C_p^+}^+(r, \theta|\tau, \phi) = \frac{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}}{r} \delta(\tau \cos(\theta - \phi) - g). \]

Its support in \((\tau, \phi)\)-space (or Radon space) is just the curve of equation
\[ \tau = \frac{g}{\cos(\phi - \theta)}. \] (36)

This is precisely the equation of a straight line in polar coordinates \((\tau, \phi)\), located at a distance \(g\) from the coordinate origin. Since \(g > 1\), the set of these straight lines in the \((\tau, \phi)\) plane lies outside the unit circle. Thus, the adjoint Radon transform of the function \(\hat{h}(\tau, \phi)\) is the usual Radon transform on straight lines (external to the unit circle) in \((\tau, \phi)\)-space but for the function \(\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1} \hat{h}(\tau, \phi)\) with the kernel \(\delta(g - \tau \cos(\phi - \theta))\), which is \(p(\sqrt{g^2 - 1} + g) h(p(\sqrt{g^2 - 1} + g), \theta) = r h(r, \theta)\).

3.5. The integral transform for circular harmonic components

Under the above assumptions, proposition 2.3 takes the form

4 We can verify that the normalization is correct since the integral on function 1 with this delta function yields the length of the circumference.
Proposition 3.3. Let \( f_l(r) \) (respectively \( \hat{f}_l(\tau) \)) be the circular component of \( f(r, \theta) \) (respectively of \( \hat{f}(r, \phi) \)) and define \( \hat{F}_l(\tau) \) (respectively \( F_l(g) \)) by

\[
\hat{F}_l(\tau) = \frac{\tau \hat{f}_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_l(g) = \frac{p(p + \sqrt{g^2 - 1})}{\sqrt{g^2 - 1}} f_l(p(p + \sqrt{g^2 - 1})).
\] (37)

Then, \( \hat{F}_l(\tau) \) is the Tschebycheff transform of the first kind of \( F_l(g) \):

\[
\hat{F}_l(\tau) = 2 \int_{\tau}^{\tau_0} \frac{dg}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{g}{\tau}\right)^2}} F_l(g),
\] (38)

where \( T_l(x) \) is the Tschebycheff polynomial of the first kind [23].

Equation (38) is well defined since the singularities of the integrand at \( g = (1, \tau) \) are both integrable. It differs only from the equation for the circular harmonic components of the Radon problem on circles through the origin of Cormack by the value of the lower integration bound (see equation (22) in [1]). Thus, most of the properties proved for Cormack’s problem remain valid here [2].

Proof. In equation (29), we insert the circular harmonic decomposition of \( f(r, \theta) \). Then, exchanging the discrete \( l \)-summation with the \( \gamma \)-integration we can identify the circular harmonic component \( \hat{f}_l(\tau) \) of \( \hat{f}(r, \phi) \) as

\[
\hat{f}_l(\tau) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\gamma \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} (r f_l(r)) (\cos \gamma) T_l(\cos \gamma) e^{i\lambda \gamma}.
\] (39)

This result can be rewritten as an integration on \([0, \gamma_0]\), in which \( e^{i\lambda \gamma} \) is replaced by \( 2 \cos \lambda \gamma \). Then, changing the \( \gamma \)-integration into \( r \)-integration, equation (30) becomes

\[
\hat{f}_l(\tau) = 2 \int_{p(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})}^{p} dr \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} \frac{1}{4\pi \sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1}} \cos l \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\tau} p + \frac{r}{p} \right) f_l(r).
\] (40)

Now using equation (28), we see that

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 (\tau^2 - 1)}} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{p}{r} - \frac{r}{p} \right) = -1, \quad \text{for} \quad r > p.
\] (41)

Thus, with \( g \) given by equation (35), equation (40) becomes

\[
\frac{\tau \hat{f}_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} = 2 \int_{p(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})}^{p} dr \frac{\cos l \cos^{-1} (g/\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{g^2}{\tau^2}}} f_l(r).
\] (42)

The last step consists in going over the variable \( g \) as integration variable with

\[
\frac{dr}{dg} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 - 1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{g^2}{\tau^2}}} = \frac{p + \sqrt{p^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{p^2 - 1}} \cos \gamma \text{, and } F_l(g) = \frac{r f_l(r)}{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g}{\tau} - \frac{r}{p} \right) \sqrt{p^2 - 1}}.
\]

With \( T_l(x) \) as defined in theorem 2.6, equation (38) is established.

Equation (38) has precisely the form of the equation for circular components of the Radon on circles through the origin [2], except that the lower integration bound is not 0 but 1 (recall that \( \tau \geq 1 \)).
3.6. Consistency conditions

**Proposition 3.4.** For \( l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \) and \( k = l, l - 2, l - 4, \ldots > 0 \), the following integral vanishes:

\[
\int_1^\infty d\tau \frac{\tau^{-k} f_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} = 0. \tag{43}
\]

**Proof.** Using proposition 3.3, we have with \( k > 0 \)

\[
\int_1^\infty d\tau \frac{\tau^{-k} f_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} = 2 \int_1^\infty d\tau \int_1^\tau dg \frac{\cos l \left( \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{x}{\tau} \right) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x}{\tau} \right)^2}} \frac{p(g + \sqrt{g^2 - 1}) f_l(p(g + \sqrt{g^2 - 1}))}{\sqrt{g^2 - 1}}. \tag{44}
\]

Exchanging integration order on the right-hand side of equation (44) as in [2], we have

\[
\int_1^\infty dg \frac{p(g + \sqrt{g^2 - 1}) f_l(p(g + \sqrt{g^2 - 1}))}{\sqrt{g^2 - 1}} \int_0^\pi d\nu \cos l \left( \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x}{\tau} \right)^2}} \right) \right) = g^{k-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} dv \cos l v \cos^{k-2} v.
\]

The value of the \( \nu \)-integral is given in [23] (page 9) as

\[
\int_0^{\pi/2} dv \cos l v \cos^{k-2} v = \frac{\pi}{2^{k-2}} \frac{\Gamma(k - 2)(\frac{k-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{k-2}{2})}{\Gamma(k-1)}. \tag{45}
\]

For \( k > 0 \), this integral vanishes for \( k = l, l - 2, l - 4, \ldots > 0 \). For \( k < 0 \), we take the poles of the other gamma-function factor.

This consistency condition will be used to deduce a closed form of the reconstruction formula, as in [22]. We have not investigated at this point the connection to special orthogonal polynomials, as done in [3, 30] for the usual Radon transform, but we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** For \( t < \tau \) and \( l > 0 \),

\[
\int_1^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} U_{l-1}(t/\tau) \frac{\tau f_l(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} = 0, \tag{46}
\]

where \( U_{l-1}(\cos \nu) = \sin l \nu / \sin \nu \) is the Tchebyscheff polynomial of second kind.

**Proof.** Using the explicit expression of \( U_{l-1}(t/\tau) \), as given in [23], we have

\[
\frac{t}{\tau} U_{l-1}(t/\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{[l-1/2]} \sum_{n=0}^{l} \left( \begin{array}{c} l \\ 2m + 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ n \end{array} \right) (-1)^{m-\nu} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^{l-2(m+1-n)}, \tag{47}
\]

where \( l - 2(m + 1 - n) > 0 \). Thus, equation (46) is a linear sum of terms of the type of equation (43), with \( k \) given by equation (45). \( \square \)
3.7. Inversion formula for the circular harmonic components

**Theorem 3.6.** Under the above assumptions, the function \( f(r, \theta) \) can be reconstructed via its circular harmonic component \( f_l(r) \), given by

\[
f_l(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{p}{r} - \frac{r}{p} \right) \left\{ \frac{d}{dr} \int_1^t d\tau \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right)}{\sqrt{(t^2 - \tau^2)(\tau^2 - 1)}} \tau \hat{f}_l(\tau) \right\}_{t=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{p}{r} + \frac{r}{p})}
\]

for \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** We multiply both sides of equation (38) by

\[
\int_1^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right)}{\tau \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 - 1}} d\tau.
\]

Since the integrand on the right-hand side of equation (38) is smooth except for integrable singularities in the plane \((\tau, g)\), we can interchange the integration order and rearrange the integrations as follows:

\[
\int_1^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right)}{\tau \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 - 1}} d\tau \hat{F}_l(\tau) = 2 \int_1^t \frac{d}{dg} F_i(g) \int_1^g \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right) \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right)}{\tau \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 - 1}} d\tau \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2}.
\]

(49)

The \( \tau \)-integral on the right-hand side of equation (49) has the value \( \pi/2 \), as shown in [2, 17]. Consequently

\[
\int_1^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right)}{\tau \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 - 1}} d\tau \hat{F}_l(\tau) = \pi \int_1^t \frac{d}{dg} F_i(g).
\]

\( F_i(t) \) is recovered by deriving with respect to \( t \) on both sides of this equation, i.e.

\[
F_i(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{\cosh \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right) } \right)}{\tau \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 - 1}} d\tau \hat{F}_l(\tau).
\]

(50)

Then, (48) is obtained after switching back to the original \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) and \( f(r, \theta) \), via equation (37), in which \( g \) plays the role of \( t \) here. \( \square \)

As observed by Cormack in [2], this result demonstrates the 'hole' theorem for the Radon transform on the arcs of the circle \( C_{\text{arc}} \): it is only necessary to know \( \hat{f}(\tau) \) for

\[
1 < \tau < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{r} + \frac{r}{p} \right),
\]

in order to determine \( f(r, \theta) \).

3.8. A closed form of the inversion formula

Following [22], a closed form the inversion formula can be established.
Proposition 3.7. Taking into account the consistency conditions (43), the final closed form of the reconstruction formula is

\[
f(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left( \frac{r - p}{r} \right) \text{P.V.} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\cos(\theta - \phi)} \times \left\{ \text{P.V.} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau^2 - 1)}} \left( \frac{\tau f(\tau, \phi)}{\tau + p} - \frac{1}{\tau \cos(\theta - \phi)} \right) \right\},
\]

where P.V. denotes the principal value of the integral.

**Proof.** We rewrite equation (48) in terms of the Tchebyscheff polynomial \( T_l(t/\tau) \) for \( 1 < \tau < t \):

\[
f_l(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left( \frac{r - p}{r} \right) \left\{ \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{1}^{t} \frac{T_l(t/\tau)}{\sqrt{(t^2 - \tau^2)(\tau^2 - 1)}} \tau f(\tau) \right\},
\]

and use the identity (16) in [22] to express the \( \tau \)-integral in equation (52) as a sum of two integrals, i.e.

\[
\int_{1}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \left( \frac{t - \sqrt{(t^2 - 1)}}{\sqrt{(t^2 - 1)}} \right) \tau f(\tau) + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} U_{|l|-1} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \frac{\tau f(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}}.
\]

Then, equation (46) allows us to rewrite the second integral in the previous equation as

\[
\int_{1}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} U_{|l|-1} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \frac{\tau f(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} = - \int_{1}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} U_{|l|-1} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \frac{\tau f(\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}},
\]

which is well defined since \( 1 < t < \tau \).

Next, we need the sums of two series, which are given by the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.8.** For \( 1 < \tau < t \), one has

\[
1 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \frac{\sqrt{(t/\tau)^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{(t/\tau)^2 - 1}} \right)^l \cos l(\theta - \phi) = \frac{-\sqrt{(t/\tau)^2 - 1}}{\cos(\theta - \phi) - \frac{t}{\tau}}.
\]

**Proof.** Since

\[
0 < \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \frac{\sqrt{(t/\tau)^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{(t/\tau)^2 - 1}} \right) < 1,
\]

application of the summation formula on page 468 of [23] yields the quoted result. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.9.** For \( 0 < t/\tau < 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{|l|-1} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) e^{il(\theta - \phi)} = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{\sin \cos^{-1}(t/\tau)} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sin (|l| \cos^{-1}(t/\tau)) \left( e^{-i\pi + il(\theta - \phi)} \right) = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta - \phi) - \frac{t}{\tau}}.
\]

(55)
The reconstruction formula appears after applying the results of the two previous lemmas as
\[ \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{|l|^{-1}} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) e^{i(l\theta - \phi)} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \]
\[ \frac{1}{\sin \cos^{-1}(t/\tau)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sin l \cos^{-1}(t/\tau)(e^{-a+i(l\theta - \phi)} \right) \left( \sin l \cos^{-1}(t/\tau)(e^{-a-i(l\theta - \phi)}) \right). \] (56)
The two sums on the right-hand side can be evaluated using a formula on page 468 of [23] for given \( a > 0 \). Then, after taking the limit \( a \to 0 \), one obtains
\[ \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{|l|^{-1}} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) e^{i(l\theta - \phi)} = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta - \phi) - (t/\tau)}, \] (57)
which is the expected result.

Next, after writing \( \hat{f}(\tau) \) as the angular Fourier component of \( \hat{f}(\tau, \phi) \) (see equation (4)), in the expression of \( f(r, \theta) \) given by equation (52), we compute \( f(r, \theta) \) as \( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(r) \exp(il\theta) \).

The reconstruction formula appears after applying the results of the two previous lemmas as
\[ f(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left( \frac{r - p}{r} \right) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \]
\[ \times \left\{ \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \text{P.V.} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}} \frac{\tau \hat{f}(\tau, \phi)}{\tau - \tau \cos(\theta - \phi)} \right] \bigg|_{t=\frac{i}{2}(\tau)} \right\}. \] (58)
As \( t \) no longer appear as integration bound, the \( t \)-derivative can be shoved under the \( \tau \)-integration. It generates a factor \( (t - \tau \cos(\theta - \phi))^{-1} \) in the integrand, with an apparent bad divergence. However, as shown in [31], a regularization procedure can be applied so that the integral transform with kernel \( (t - \tau \cos(\theta - \phi))^{-1} \) acts on the \( \tau \)-derivative of \( \tau \hat{f}(\tau, \phi)/\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1} \). This is formula (51). Alternatively, one may also use partial integration on \( \tau \) with the boundary values \( (\tau \hat{f}(\tau, \phi)/\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})_{\tau=1,\infty} = 0 \) (due to equation (38) and its own structure) to obtain the final result (51).

3.9. The Radon transform on arcs of the circle \( C^{-}_r \)

If the inner arc of the circle \( C^{-}_r \) is used to define the Radon transform of a function \( f(r, \theta) \), one should take the equation \( r' = p(\tau \cos \gamma - \sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1}) \). The corresponding integral kernel is
\[ \mathcal{K}_{C^{-}_r}(\tau, \phi|r', \theta) \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1}} \delta(r' - p(-\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1} + \tau \cos(\theta - \phi))). \] (59)
There are only minor differences in the calculations as compared to the previous case and one ends up exactly with the same equation for the circular harmonic components of proposition 3.3. The previous inversion procedure simply goes through. Another way to see this fact is to note that the product \( r r' = p^2 \): the two arcs of circles \( C^{-}_r \) and \( C^{-}_r \) are the inverse of each other in the inversion of the center \( O \) and modulus \( p \). Then, the integral equations connecting the circular components can be exchanged via this geometric inversion, as in the case of the straight line and the circle intersecting \( O \), see [18, 22].
We observe that the delta-function of a circle $C_{p\tau} = C_{p\tau}^- \cup C_{p\tau}^+$ is the sum of the delta-functions in equation (32) and in equation (59), which can be put together as

$$K_{C_{p\tau}^-}(\tau, \phi|\tau, \theta) + K_{C_{p\tau}^+}(\tau, \phi|\tau, \theta) = \delta(\sqrt{r^2 + \tau^2 p^2} - 2\tau p \cos(\theta - \phi) - p\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

It is not known yet if a Radon transform on whole circles $C_{p\tau}$, with the kernel (60), is invertible or not.

### 4. A suggested modality for CST

#### 4.1. On Compton scatter tomography

To image the hidden parts of objects of interest, transmission or emission tomography is nowadays widely used. The flux density of ionizing radiation (i.e. x- or gamma rays) with definite energy $E_0$ is measured at the end of linear paths along all possible space orientations. In transmission tomography$^5$, the data are the cumulated attenuation of the radiation pencil with energy $E_0$, from an emission external source to a detection site. In emission tomography$^6$, the detector records the integral of the object emitted radio-activity density along a given straight line path, which, in a first approximation, has not been attenuated by absorption or by Compton scattering. In both cases, the acquired data are represented by the usual Radon transform of a physical density function characterizing the object (linear attenuation coefficient or radio-activity emission density).

CST is an alternative imaging technique which relies on the detection of Compton scattered radiation produced by an object when it is exposed to a external radiation source. The relevant quantity describing the object is now the object electronic density. This imaging principle was proposed first by Lale [32] and has evolved into many variants. The pioneering Compton scatter imaging methods are classified according to the way the measurement of the spatial

---

$^5$ Computed tomography.

$^6$ That is single photon emission computed tomography or positron emission tomography.
distribution of scatter radiation is done or the number of simultaneous volume elements being scanned: i.e., point by point, line by line, or plane by plane (for a complete account see the review [33]).

In 1978, instead of performing local step-by-step measurements, Kondic pointed out that scattered radiation data can be acquired as an integral of the electronic density along isogonic arcs of the circle of constant scattered energy [34]. The idea was later expanded in [35] by Prettyman, who realized that the data should be collected at all spatial orientations of the pair source-detector (or equivalently of the object). But having no analytic reconstruction formula adapted to this modality of data acquisition, he used standard numerical methods to reconstruct the object electron density.

In 1994, Norton showed that Kondic’s idea can be implemented with a fixed point-like radiation source $S$ and a point-like detector $D$ moving on a straight line passing through $S$ [21] (figure 3, left). In this way, the collected data by the detector are essentially the Radon transform of the electronic density on circles of variable radius and going through $S$. Since such Radon transforms admit readily an analytic inverse [2], a natural reconstruction algorithm can be set up.

Recently, the inversion of a Radon transform on circular arcs subtended by a chord of fixed length but rotating about its center has been established [16] (figure 3, right). Its explicit inversion formula is precisely suited for the CST imaging procedure proposed by Prettyman in 1991.

Thus, it is natural to ask whether the Radon transform on circles orthogonal to a fixed circle of section 3 may be of use for some new type of CST modality.

4.2. A new CST modality?

In this section, we propose to give an affirmative answer to this question. This is illustrated in figure 4.

A calibrated point source $S$ of $\gamma$-rays, located on a circle $\Gamma_p$, emits photons of energy $E_0$. A lead shield nearby confines this emitted radiation to a half-space. An object, placed outside the circle $\Gamma_p$, is irradiated by the incoming radiation. Compton scattered radiation by the object

Figure 4. New CST modality associated with external circular arcs $C^+_p$.
electric charges is selectively registered, at different scattering energies \( E \), by a point detector \( D \), also located on the circle \( \Gamma_p \).

A scattered photon of energy \( E < E_0 \) is deviated by an angle \( \omega \) from its initial propagation direction, after a Compton collision with an electron. \( \omega \) is given by the so-called Compton relation \( E = E_0/(1 + \epsilon (1 - \cos \omega)) \), where \( \epsilon = E_0/mc^2 \), \( m \) is the electron rest mass and \( c \) is the light velocity in vacuum. In figure 4, we can see that \( \omega = \gamma_0 + \pi/2; \) this would mean that the measured data are due to Compton back-scattered radiation, i.e. \( (\pi/2 < \omega < \pi) \).

We recall that \( \cos \gamma_0 = 1/\tau \). Thus, when only photons of the energy \( E \) are registered, the photon flux density reaching \( D \) is proportional to the integral of the electron density \( f(r, \theta) \) on \( C^+_{\rho} \). Radon data for reconstruction can be generated by rotating rigidly the pair of points \( S \) and \( D \) around the center \( O \) for all allowable relative angular separation \( 2\gamma_0 < \pi \). In this schematic presentation, in which only the essential idea is stressed, Compton kinematic factor, attenuation and photometric dispersion of photon propagation have not been taken into account in the reconstruction of \( f(r, \theta) \) by formula (51). These points will be discussed in the next subsection.

4.3. Inclusion of Compton kinematic factor, attenuation and photometric effects

In general, the Compton kinematic factor, which includes the Compton differential cross section, is solely a function of \( \omega \), or of \( \tau \) via \( \tan \gamma_0 = \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}; \) therefore, its inclusion as a factor \( P(\tau) \) would not alter the invertibility of the Radon transform. Radiation flux density emitted by a point source is weakened, after traveling a distance \( r \) by a factor \( 1/r^n \) with \( n = 1, 2 \) in two- and three-dimensional space. Accounting for this effect means inserting a factor \( (SM \times MD)^{-n} \) in the definition of the Radon transform \( \gamma \). Fortunately this product can be exactly evaluated and reexpressed as a product of a function of \( r \) and a function of \( \tau \).

The distances \( SM \) and \( MD \) in the triangles \( OSM \) and \( OMD \) in figure 4 are given by

\[
SM^2 = p^2 + r^2 - 2pr \cos (\gamma_0 - \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad MD^2 = p^2 + r^2 - 2pr \cos (\gamma_0 + \gamma).
\]  

Using \( \cos \gamma_0 = \tau^{-1} \) and equation (28), we can put their product under the form

\[
SM^2 MD^2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right) (r^2 - p^2)^2 = \sin^2 \gamma_0 (r^2 - p^2)^2.
\]  

Equation (29) now reads

\[
\hat{f}(\tau, \phi) = P(\tau) \int_{-\gamma_0}^{\gamma_0} dy \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2 - 1}{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1}} \left[ \frac{rf(r, \gamma + \phi)}{(1 - \frac{1}{\tau})^{n/2} (p^2 - r^2)^n} \right]_{r=p \left(\sqrt{\tau^2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1} + \tau \cos \gamma\right)}.
\]  

A quick check shows that the invertibility of the transform via circular harmonic components remains valid. However, the inclusion of a constant linear attenuation coefficient will not work in this scheme as already noted in the case of [16].

4.4. Advantages in applications

The present modality based on arcs of the circle \( C^+_{\rho} \), is well adapted for imaging objects outside and far away from the circle \( \Gamma_p \). The scanning \( \phi \)-rotation may then be conveniently replaced by a back-and-forth sweep motion (radar antenna type of motion) inside of an angular sector. It generates a two-dimensional image of the hidden part of an object slice. A true three-dimensional image can be obtained next by axially superposing these two-dimensional images.

This is particularly interesting when the object is far away from the apparatus. Such a situation may arise in many instances: medical imaging, non-destructive industrial testing,
geological prospection, etc. One important instance of application is the image reconstruction of objects situated on one side of a semi-infinite medium such as objects immersed below the sea level or objects buried under the earth surface. In particular, this modality may provide an interesting way for detection and imaging land (or sea) mines in a territory defense context and therefore it positions itself as a competitor to some other gamma-ray imaging processes advocated in recent years, e.g. see [36].

Now for objects of small sizes, one may use the modality based on arcs of the circle $C_{p\tau}$. The object may be placed within the circle $\Gamma_p$. The rotational motion of this pair for each opening angle $\gamma_0$ is easily realized in this case, as shown in figure 5. The pair source-detector now has a different lead shield arrangement, which in fact can be a circular belt radiation shielding around $\Gamma_p$ and is probably the most adequate protection against stray radiation during operation. Finally, $p$ remains an adjustable parameter which may be used to fit the size of scanned objects.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

In this paper, we have described a way of finding curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$ on which Radon transforms can be defined and inverted analytically through circular harmonic component decomposition. Such curves are called generalized Cormack’s curves. They are solutions of a nonlinear first-order differential equation and fall into three groups corresponding to the value and the sign of a free parameter $C$. Two groups of solutions are known from previous works [2, 16]. The third one is new and its simplest representative is the class of circles orthogonal to a fixed circle centered at the origin. We have explicitly obtained the analytic inversion formula for this last case. This result suggests a new way for doing CST, which might well be adapted for large objects hidden below the surface of a large medium as well as for smaller objects in a finite geometry. Thus, such modality could find applications in numerous contexts.

As natural extensions of this work, topics of future investigations could be for example
the inversion of Radon transforms on $\sigma$-descendants of the arcs of the circle $C_{p}^{\pm}$, in particular when $\sigma = 1/m$ with $m = 2, 3, \ldots$. It is expected that relations to orthogonal function expansions arise as found in [3, 30].

- the search for manifolds of co-dimension 1 in $\mathbb{R}^n$, having a rotational symmetry axis going through the coordinate origin, on which Radon transforms can be defined and inverted exactly via harmonic component decomposition of functions. It is hoped that new innovating imaging principles could come up in $\mathbb{R}^3$.
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